



ARRB Group Ltd

www.arrb.com.au

ACN 004 620 651 ABN 68 004 620 651

- Research and Consulting
- Systems

Evaluation of the Motorcycle Blackspot Program

Project No: 010074

by Dr Peter Cairney, Ben Mitchell, Associate

Professor Deny Meyer, Sarah Van Dam

and Tariro Makwasha

for VicRoads





Evaluation of the Motorcycle Blackspot Program Q25-6510

ARRB Group Ltd

ABN 68 004 620 651

Victoria

500 Burwood Highway Vermont South VIC 3133 Australia P: +61 3 9881 1555 F: +61 3 9887 8104 info@arrb.com.au

Western Australia

191 Carr Place Leederville WA 6007 Australia P: +61 8 9227 3000 F: +61 8 9227 3030 arrb.wa@arrb.com.au

New South Wales

2-14 Mountain St Ultimo NSW 2007 Australia P: +61 2 9282 4444 F: +61 2 9280 4430 arrb.nsw@arrb.com.au

Queensland

123 Sandgate Road Albion QLD 4010 Australia P: +61 7 3260 3500 F: +61 7 3862 4699 arrb.qld@arrb.com.au

South Australia

Level 5, City Central, Suite 507, 147 Pirie Street Adelaide SA 5000 Australia P: +61 8 7200 2659 F: +61 8 8223 7406 arrb.sa@arrb.com.au

International offices:

Xiamen, People's Republic of China

for VicRoads

| | Reviewed | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Project Leader | | |
| | Dr Peter Cairney | |
| Quality Manager | | |
| | Chris Jurewicz | |

010074-1 July 2015



EVALUATION OF THE MOTORCYCLE BLACKSPOT PROGRAM



SUMMARY

Background

Victoria collects a Motorcycle Safety Levy from all registered motorcycles from which funds are directed into a Motorcycle Blackspot Program (MBP) that provides treatments to improve motorcycle safety at locations throughout the state with a history of motorcycle crashes. VicRoads commissioned ARRB to conduct an evaluation of the MBP in terms of its crash reduction effects and the associated economic returns.

Data and Method

Data were received for 176 treatments, made up as follows: 9 barrier protection treatments, 4 intersection treatments, 61 long route treatments, 92 loss-of-control treatments, 4 roundabout trial treatments and 6 variable message sign trial treatments. Crash records were matched to sites using the ArcView GIS software. A quasi-experimental design was followed, with road sections adjacent to the treatment sites adopted as the control sites, except in the case of the long route treatments where other routes which were broadly similar to the treatment routes were used.

Crash Reduction Effects

The crash reductions and their significance were estimated by fitting a mixed generalised linear negative binomial model with sites nested within sub-programs. This procedure takes into account changes in the number of crashes at the control sites, an essential step since there was an upward but fluctuating trend in motorcycle travel over the life of the program. Statistically significant crash reductions were found for the program overall with an estimated 27% reduction in casualty crashes and an estimated 31% reduction in fatality and serious injury crashes after adjustment for changes at the control sites.

When the different treatment types were considered separately, there were substantial crash reductions although only one of these was statistically significant. This was the barrier protection treatment, which produced a highly significant reduction of 74% in FSI crashes.

Results for the other treatments were highly variable from site to site; results were not statistically significant, but the FSI crash reductions were substantial in the case of the long route and loss-of-control sites, 29% and 42% respectively, while the intersection sites showed a 69% reduction although the numbers were much smaller.

More detailed examination of the crash data showed that the types of crash which had reduced corresponded with what would be expected given the nature of the countermeasures. There was no evidence of a crash migration effect. Best performing sites were identified and discussed.

- i -



Although the Report is believed to be correct at the time of publication, ARRB Group Ltd, to the extent lawful, excludes all liability for loss (whether arising under contract, tort, statute or otherwise) arising from the contents of the Report or from its use. Where such liability cannot be excluded, it is reduced to the full extent lawful. Without limiting the foregoing, people should apply their own skill and judgement when using the information contained in the Report.



July 2015

Economic Evaluation

The cost of the program was \$32 million. Considered from the point of view of all motorcycle casualty crashes, the program has reduced all casualty crashes by 27%, which is statistically highly significant. Considered in these terms, the BCR ranges from 7.6 to 6.3 and the NPV ranges from \$211 million to \$170 million, depending on the discount rate adopted.

Considered from the point of view of motorcycle FSI crashes, the program has reduced FSI crashes by 31%, which again is statistically highly significant. Considered in these terms, the BCR ranges from 8.5 to 7.1 and the NPV ranges from \$240 million to \$195 million, depending on the discount rate adopted.

The average cost of preventing an FSI motorcycle crash was estimated at almost \$80 000.

Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study were:

- 1. The program has been successful in reducing motorcycle casualty crashes (by 27%) and FSI crashes (by 31%), both these reductions being statistically highly significant.
- 2. The program also showed good economic returns.
- 3. The barrier protection program has been particularly effective in reducing FSI crashes (by 74%), and shows the best economic returns.
- 4. The long route treatments and the loss-of-control treatments have both been successful in reducing crashes and show good economic returns. In both cases, sufficient numbers of sites have received the treatments to allow confidence in the results.
- 5. The intersection treatments also showed good reductions in motorcycle crashes, but the number of sites is small; trials at more sites are needed before full confidence can be placed in it.



- ii - July 2015

CONTENTS

| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-----|--|----------------------------|
| 1.1 | The Issue | 1 |
| 1.2 | Previous Evaluation | 1 |
| 1.3 | The Motorcycle Blackspot Program | 2 |
| 1.4 | Motorcycle Travel and Methodological Challenges 1.4.1 Fluctuating Motorcycle Use 1.4.2 Regression to the Mean 1.4.3 Crash Migration | 3 4 4 |
| 2 | METHOD | |
| 2.1 | Terms Used in the Report | 6 |
| 2.2 | Selection of Treatment Crashes | 6 |
| 2.3 | Selection of Control Sites and Crashes | 7 7 |
| 2.4 | Statistical Analysis | 8 |
| 2.5 | Economic Analysis | 8 |
| 3 | RESULTS | 10 |
| 3.1 | Effects of the Program and its Treatment Types 3.1.1 Casualty Crash Reductions 3.1.2 FSI Crash Reductions 3.1.3 Assessment of the Program Overall | 10 10 |
| 3.2 | Crash Reductions at Different Treatments | 14 |
| 3.3 | Economic Analysis | 16 17 18 18 20 |
| 3.4 | Changes in Effectiveness as the Program Proceeds | 21 |
| 3.5 | Best-performing Sites | 21 |



| 4 | DISCU | JSSION | 24 |
|-----|---|--|----------|
| 4.1 | Overal | Il Effectiveness of the Program | 24 |
| 4.2 | Effective 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.6 | veness of Different Program Elements Barrier Protection Intersection Treatments Long Route Treatments Loss-of-Control Treatments Roundabout Treatments. VAS Treatment. | 24252525 |
| 4.3 | Compa | arison with Previous Studies | 26 |
| 5 | CONC | LUSIONS | 27 |
| REF | ERENC | ES | 28 |
| | ENDIX | | |

- iv -



TABLES

| Table 1.1: | Motorcycle travel in Australia from successive SMVUs, 2005–12 | 4 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Table 2.1: | Ratio of FSI crashes to other injury crashes at control and treatment | |
| | sites for entire study period | 8 |
| Table 3.1: | Casualty crashes | |
| Table 3.2: | Fatal and serious crashes | 13 |
| Table 3.3: | | 15 |
| Table 3.4: | Reductions in most frequent types of motorcycle casualty crashes at loss-of control-sites | 15 |
| Table 3.5: | Changes in most frequent types of motorcycle casualty crashes at control sites for loss-of-control treatments | |
| Table 3.6: | Crash costs used in the analysis | 17 |
| Table 3.7: | Average project life, number of sites and final cost of treatments in the MBP | |
| Table 3.8: | NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments with varying discount rates when the analysis is conducted in terms of casualty crashes | 18 |
| Table 3.9: | NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments with varying discount rates when the analysis is conducted in terms of FSI crashes | |
| Table 3.10: | Sensitivity test 1 – NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments, casualty crashes, with 5-year project life | |
| Table 3.11: | NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments, FSI crashes, with 5-year project life | |
| Table 3.12: | Sensitivity test 2 – NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments, | |
| Table 3.13: | casualty crashes, with 20-year project life | |
| Table 3.14: | crashes, with 20-year project life | |
| Table 2.45. | treatments in the MBP | |
| Table 3.15: | Crash reductions at treatment site in after period | Z1 |
| Table 3.16: | Sites where a reduction of 5 or more FSI crashes in 5 years was | 00 |
| Table 0.47 | achieved | |
| Table 3.17: | Sites where a reduction of 4 FSI crashes in 5 years was achieved | ∠3 |



- v - July 2015

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Issue

Motorcycle crashes are a serious issue for the Australian community. A report for Austroads (Cairney 2010) estimated that the fatality and serious injury rate for motorcyclists was approximately 30 times greater for motorcyclists than car drivers. Given these high rates, the report went on to identify growth in motorcycling as a development that had the potential to derail casualty targets in national and state road safety strategies, with even a small percentage of car drivers switching to motorcycles having potentially drastic consequences.

Victoria collects a Motorcycle Safety Levy from all registered motorcycles from which a portion of funds is directed into a Motorcycle Blackspot Program (MBP) which funds treatments to improve motorcycle safety at locations throughout the state with a history of motorcycle crashes. Due to the relatively small number of motorcycle crashes, the criteria are different from the normal general blackspot program. According to the brief, since the program commenced in 2003, approximately 170 projects have been completed, divided into three main components:

- blackspot projects, focussing on individual locations with adverse motorcycle crash histories,
 e.g. individual curves or intersections
- blacklength projects, also based on adverse motorcycle crash histories, which extend beyond a single location but are of limited extent
- long route projects, which are pro-active projects intended to improve the consistency of road conditions, guidance and delineation along routes carrying large numbers of motorcycles.

Given the high risk associated with motorcycle travel, a robust understanding of the crash reduction effects of the MBP is a high priority.

The key questions for the present evaluation were:

- What were the changes in the number and severity of motorcycle crashes following the roll-out of the MBP?
- What were the economic benefits of the MBP?
- How effective were each of the different sub-programs?
- What were the main factors associated with crash reductions, and which treatments performed best?

1.2 Previous Evaluation

An evaluation of the MBP was carried out by the Monash University Accident Research Centre (MUARC) which considered all treatments completed up to the end of 2007 (Scully, Newstead & Corben 2008). The first 91 projects completed under the program were included in the evaluation, made up of 54 blacklength treatments, 30 long route treatments and one intersection treatment, widely dispersed across the state.

The changes in both serious casualty crashes and all casualty crashes were considered. Crashes occurring in the six years before the installation of the treatment were compared with crashes after installation of the treatments; the after period varied from project to project, with a maximum of approximately four years and a minimum of less than a year. The percent reduction in crashes was estimated by comparing crashes at sites with other motorcycle crashes occurring in the area



- 1 - July 2015

covered by the same postcode over the same before and after periods. In line with other recent evaluations of blackspot programs addressing crashes involving all types of vehicles, Poisson regression was used to test whether these reductions were significant.

Blacklength treatments reduced casualty crashes by a statistically significant 40%, and serious casualty crashes by a statistically significant 43%. At the long route treatments sites, both casualty crashes and serious casualty crashes increased by 13% and 18% respectively, but neither increase was statistically significant. At the sole intersection treatment site, casualty crashes fell by 51% and serious casualty crashes fell by 34%, but with the relatively small number of crashes involved these large percentage reductions were not statistically significant.

Overall, the MBP was associated with a 24% reduction in casualty crashes, which was statistically significant, and a 16% reduction in serious casualty crashes, which was not.

The cost of these programs was \$5.8 million. The value of the crashes saved over the life of the program, including those not involving motorcycles and adjusted for discounting, was estimated to be \$84.5 million. The estimated benefit-cost ratio was 15:1.

The cost associated with preventing a casualty crash involving a motorcycle was approximately \$19 000, well below the average cost of a casualty crash.

Both the blacklength treatments and the long route treatments involved a package of measures that varied according to the characteristics and crash history of the individual sites. Blacklength treatments were intended to remedy safety deficiencies that affected motorcycles at the sites. They included removal of roadside hazards, resurfacing, shoulder sealing, hazard removal, line marking and raised reflective pavement marker (RRPM) installation, warning signs and advisory speed plates, chevron alignment markers (CAMs) and guideposts, and clearing the road surface of debris. The objective of long route treatments was to provide a more consistent environment along the route so that riding would be more predictable and riders would be less likely to be surprised by changing road conditions. The treatments included installing CAMs, warning signs and advisory speed plates, consistently-placed frangible guideposts, line marking over the entire length of the road, and re-evaluation of advisory speeds on curves.

Since all treatments depended on a combination of elements that varied from site to site, it was not possible to estimate the effectiveness of these individual elements. For example, while it was possible to estimate the effectiveness of the blacklength treatment program, it was not possible to estimate the independent effects of resurfacing, CAMs, or any of the other treatment elements. The same applied to the other treatments that relied on a combination of elements that varied from site to site, i.e. the long route, intersection and roundabout programs.

With more than ten years' experience of the program available, there is now the opportunity to subject the program to a more rigorous evaluation.

1.3 The Motorcycle Blackspot Program

The purpose of the MBP is to make changes to the road and roadside environment that improve road safety for motorcyclists in Victoria. There have been refinements and additions to the structure of the program since the initial evaluation by Scully et al. (2008). It still has three main components, but the first of these has been separated into two categories:

- blackspot/blacklength treatments, focussing on loss-of-control crashes; this is made up of two different types of treatments
 - barrier protection



- 2 - July 2015

- loss of control
- intersection treatments
- long route treatments.

In addition, there are two different types of innovative treatments being trialled:

- roundabout treatments trial
- variable message signs (VMS) trial.

The projects included in the MBP have been selected on the basis of the site's history of motorcycle crashes and the crash reduction benefits expected from the proposed treatments. The treatments are tailored to address the problems experienced by motorcyclists.

For blackspot/blacklength and intersection treatments, the proposed treatments are identified through a detailed crash analysis and an on-road review of the deficiencies that have contributed to the crashes or the severity of their outcomes. A different approach is adopted for long route projects which aim to provide a more predictable riding environment by ensuring consistency in road conditions, delineation and warnings along the entire length of the route.

The innovative treatments – roundabout treatments and VMS – are intended to test the effectiveness of new solutions that may be of benefit in reducing the incidence and severity of motorcycle crashes.

VicRoads provided details of the following treatments for the analysis:

- 9 barrier protection treatments
- 4 intersection treatments
- 61 long route treatments
- 92 loss of control treatments
- 4 roundabout trial treatments
- 6 VMS trial treatments.

This totals 176 treatments.

1.4 Motorcycle Travel and Methodological Challenges

There are a number of methodological challenges that need to be addressed in order to answer the questions posed in Section 1.1.

1.4.1 Fluctuating Motorcycle Use

The years over which the MBP has run and those preceding it has been a period of considerable but uneven growth in motorcycling. Successive Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Surveys of Motor Vehicle Use (SMVUs) across Australia show strong growth in vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) between 2005 and 2010 and a considerable fall thereafter (Table 1.1) (ABS 2013). This points to the need for extreme care in interpreting changes in the numbers of motorcycle crashes and the risks of misestimating the benefits of the program. For example, if motorcycle travel reduces, crash reductions due to less travel could be wrongly attributed to the program. Conversely, if motorcycle travel increases, the full extent of crash reductions due to the program may not be recognised.



- 3 - July 2015

Careful design of the comparison procedure can do much to eliminate this source of bias. The present study has followed a quasi-experimental approach. Lengths of road adjacent to the treatment sites were selected as control sites, which ensured that the control sites and the treatment sites were ridden over by the same riders and that the machines, trip purposes, traffic and policing levels were the same.

| Year | Passenger vehicle VKT | % increase in successive surveys | Motorcycle VKT | % increase in successive surveys |
|------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| 2005 | 155 068 | na | 1 429 | |
| 2007 | 156 184 | 0.7 | 1 641 | 14.8 |
| 2010 | 163 360 | 4.6 | 2 394 | 45.9 |
| 2012 | 167 456 | 2.5 | 1 882 | -21.4 |

Table 1.1: Motorcycle travel in Australia from successive SMVUs, 2005–12

Source: (ABS 9208.0 2013).

1.4.2 Regression to the Mean

Another possible issue is the phenomenon of regression to the mean. It is generally assumed (and borne out by experience) that sites have an underlying crash risk that arises from factors such as their geometry, cross-section, signing and line marking; however, the circumstances that actually generate a crash vary in a random fashion, such as vehicle speed, lateral position, rider attention, appreciation of the situation, or presence of other traffic. As a result, crash numbers will fluctuate randomly; over some periods, they will be lower than could be expected given the nature of the site; at other times, they will be higher. In both cases, over time, the crashes can be expected to return to the usual range for that particular site. There is a risk that, if sites are selected for treatment on the basis of high crash numbers alone, the regression to the mean effect will be included in any estimate of the crash reduction effects of the treatment.

In the case of the present study, the VicRoads selection process has some safeguards against the selection of sites solely on the basis of aberrant high crash numbers. Five years' crash history is considered in identifying candidate sites for treatment, and the suitability of some classes of site is also assessed by an inspection by an experienced rider who considers the site from the rider's point of view and identifies motorcycle-specific safety deficiencies (VicRoads, no date). These steps would tend to direct the program towards sites with underlying problems rather than sites which had large numbers of crashes due to chance variation.

In the study itself, the before period was the five years before treatment installation at each site, and the after treatment was the five years after installation. This follows Nicholson's (1986) finding that the accuracy of crash rate estimates increases as the length of pre-treatment period is increased steadily up to a period of five years, but shows a much diminished rate of improvement beyond that period. In a few cases, the after data was a few months short of the five full years. An adjustment was made in the statistical model to allow for this.

1.4.3 Crash Migration

Crash migration refers to the possibility that, while a road-based treatment may reduce crashes at the treated site, more crashes occur elsewhere on the network as a result. Scully et al. (2008) make the case that crash migration is unlikely with the MBP for two reasons. First, crash migration generally occurs as a result of the treatment restricting or slowing traffic, so that it is diverted elsewhere and, as a result, crashes increase at these other sites. Second, VicRoads was of the opinion that the nature of the treatments was such that crash migration was unlikely.



- 4 - July 2015

Treatments such as improved guidance, better road surfaces and the removal of loose material or roadside objects would be likely to make the treated routes more attractive to motorcyclists so that motorcycle travel at the sites would be likely to increase. The way in which control sites have been selected in this study as adjacent sections of the same road as the treatment site ensures that any changes in travel as a result of the treatments or other factors are taken account of.

1.4.4 Consistency of Crash Reporting

VicRoads advised that there had been a change to crash reporting procedures in the period 2005-06 and that this gave rise to concern about the consistency of the data. However, a consistency check found no cause for concern (Section 2.3.3).



2 METHOD

2.1 Terms Used in the Report

The main terms used throughout this report are as follows:

Casualty crash: A crash that was reported to police and involved a road

user being injured.

Fatal and serious injury (FSI) crash: A casualty crash in which the most seriously injured road

user, as a result of the crash, either died within 30 days or

was transported to or admitted to hospital.

Other injury crash: A casualty crash in which the most seriously injured road

user was not killed, transported to hospital or admitted to

hospital.

Treatment: A class of measures to address a particular type of

motorcycle crash, e.g. long route, loss of control. The specifics of the treatment vary from site to site, involving different treatment elements according to the nature of the

crash problem and the characteristics of the site.

Treatment site: A site selected for treatment under the MBP because of its

history of motorcycle crashes.

Control site: A site selected to be comparable to the treatment site but

at which no treatment is applied during the life of the

program.

Treatment element: A type of traffic control device which is used in

combination with others to create a treatment, e.g. guide

posts, line marking, signing or resurfacing.

2.2 Selection of Treatment Crashes

The data received from VicRoads consisted of a list of treatment locations and a set of motorcycle records, both data sets including GIS coordinates. A spatial join was applied using the ArcGIS software to identify the closest treatment site to each crash. All crashes greater than 50 m from a treatment site were removed.

The relevant crash records were then exported to Microsoft Excel and a query was written to check if the road name in the crash data matched the road name in the treated sites. All crash records between 25 and 50 m with non-matching road names were removed from the data set. The remaining crash records were then reviewed one by one to remove any aberrant results, e.g. mid-block DCA code for intersection treatment. Finally, any duplicate crash records were removed.

This identified the entire set of crashes occurring at the treatment sites. The before and after periods were defined by the treatment completion date at each site. The data set produced by this step in the method therefore includes crashes that were not included in the analysis, as well as



- 6 - July 2015

crashes in the before and after periods. In most cases the control and after periods were 5 years before installation and 5 years after installation, but in those few cases where the installation had been completed less than 5 years before the end of the study period, the after period was shorter.

2.3 Selection of Control Sites and Crashes

2.3.1 General Approach

The initial approach for all treatment classes apart from the long routes category was to select motorcycle crashes occurring on the same road for 5 km either end of the treatment site, or where that road ended if it joined another road, and which occurred during the periods equivalent to the before and after periods for the treatment site. As was the case with the treatment sites, in most cases this was 5 years before installation and 5 years after installation, but in those cases where the installation had been completed less than 5 years before the end of the study period, the after period was shorter. This provided a reasonable balance of crashes between treatment and control groups for the barrier treatment groups, but for the other categories produced far too many control crashes. More appropriate numbers of control crashes were achieved by selecting shorter control lengths for the other types of treatment, 0.5 km in the case of intersection crashes and 1.0 km in the other cases. This produced a reasonable balance of control crashes in the before period for all treatments.

2.3.2 Long Route Sites

Because the long route treatment sites extended over long stretches of road, the method for selecting control sites described in Section 2.3.1 was not feasible. Instead, a number of control routes were selected. These were lengths of the declared road network, selected on the basis of VicRoads' and the ARRB team's local knowledge, which ran through generally similar terrain as did the long routes. A difficulty was that the majority of the popular motorcycling routes had already been included as treatment sites, so it was not possible to find sites with as many motorcycle crashes as the treatment sites. The list of long route control sites is shown in Appendix A.

2.3.3 Consistency Check

The brief advised that there was a potential issue with the consistency of the crash data as there had been a change in reporting procedures at the end of 2005 and which may have affected the crash data from 2006 onwards. The possibility of substantial changes in the reporting system was examined by comparing the ratio of other injury crashes to FSI crashes throughout the study period, separately for the treatment and control sites (Table 2.1). Three points should be noted. First, the ratio of FSI to other injuries is higher at the treatment sites than at the control sites, taken over the entire study period. The higher ratio of FSI to other injury crashes is not unexpected, given that the treatment sites have been selected on the basis of an adverse crash record. Second, the ratio fluctuates considerably over the period, ranging between 0.56 and 1.22 at the control sites and between 0.75 and 2.13 at the treatment sites. Third, the ratios are elevated in 2007 and 2008 at the control sites and in the period 2006–10 at the treatment sites, before returning to lower levels. Although the periods do not exactly coincide, the periods when the ratios are at their highest overlap indicated by the shaded cells in Table 2.1.

Since both treatment and control sites were subject to similar fluctuations at about the same time, it was decided that any process of adjusting the data to make allowance for these fluctuation was unlikely to be helpful, and hence no adjustments were made.



- 7 - July 2015

Control **Treatment** Other Other FSI FSI/other FSI FSI/other Year injury injury 1998 69 81 0.85 72 76 0.95 1999 75 103 0.73 70 73 0.96 2000 80 101 0.79 90 94 0.96 2001 75 102 0.74 101 89 1 13 2002 102 0.56 90 57 116 1.29 2003 85 0.75 89 64 94 1.06 2004 89 68 0.76 81 77 1.05 2005 61 90 0.68 89 101 88.0 2006 69 91 0.76 97 64 1.52 77 2007 90 1.17 121 88 1.38 2008 105 86 1.22 101 50 2.02 2009 79 89 0.89 113 53 2.13 62 2010 103 0.60 92 63 1.46 2011 106 0.64 83 68 62 0.75 2012 77 97 0.79 87 71 1.23 2013 68 99 0.69 99 75 1.32 2014 1.09 48 44 49 39 1.26 Total 1215 1545 0.79 1534 1275 1.20

Table 2.1: Ratio of FSI crashes to other injury crashes at control and treatment sites for entire study period

Note: Crashes in this table include those occurring outside the analysis period for their particular site, as well as those occurring in the designated before and after periods.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

A mixed generalised linear model analysis was used to compare the number of casualty crashes before and after the crash reduction program for each of the sub-programs and for the program as a whole. In these analyses a negative binomial distribution was assumed in order to allow for the high variance in casualty crash counts between sites.

2.5 Economic Analysis

Benefit-cost analysis (BCA) identifies and expresses benefits and costs of any given countermeasure in monetary values and provides a single value indicating whether a project is worthwhile. When the value of benefits exceeds costs, the project is considered as beneficial.

The main summary measures of BCAs are the net present value (NPV) and benefit-cost ratio (BCR). The NPV provides information on the total benefits over a project's life while the BCR shows the relationship between the benefits of the project and the cost of implementing it (PIARC 2012).

The method used for economic analysis followed that laid out by the Australian Transport Council (ATC 2006).

- 8 -

The NPV is the difference between the discounted (present value) monetary value of all the benefits and costs of a particular project or measure, summed over the life of the project. A



July 2015

positive NPV indicates an improvement in economic efficiency compared with the base case. The NPV is calculated as follows.

$$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{B_t - IC_t}{(1+r)^t}$$

where

t = time in years

n = number of years during which benefits and costs occur

 B_t = benefits in year t

IC_t = implementation costs in year t

r = discount rate

Source: Adapted from ATC (2006).

The BCR is defined as the present value of benefits (net operating and maintenance costs) divided by the present value of implementation costs. The method for calculation is as follows:

$$BCR = \frac{PV(B)}{PV(IC)}$$

where

PV = present value

B = all benefits

IC = treatment implementation costs

Source: Adapted from ATC (2006).



3 RESULTS

3.1 Effects of the Program and its Treatment Types

3.1.1 Casualty Crash Reductions

A mixed generalised linear model analysis was used to compare the number of casualty crashes before and after the crash reduction program for each of the sub-programs and for the program as a whole. In these analyses a negative binomial distribution was assumed in order to allow for the high variance in casualty crash counts between sites.

The shaded portion of Table 3.1 shows the numbers of casualty crashes summed over all the locations in each sub-program category before and after installation, separately for treatment and control sites. It also shows the percentage reduction in crashes during the after period (note that a minus sign in front of the percentage indicates an increase).

Table 3.1 shows that there was a 25% decline in casualty crashes for the barrier protection sub-program, a 54% decline for the intersection sub-program, a 27% decline for the long route sub-program, and a 35% decline for the loss-of-control sub-program. There were increases for the roundabout and VAS programs, but in these cases the numbers were small. Overall the program was associated with a 29% reduction in casualty crashes.

For the control sites, there was a 37% increase in casualty crashes for the barrier protection sub-program, a 6% increase for the intersection sub-program, a 19% increase for the long route sub-program, and a 3% increase for the loss-of-control sub-program. However, small declines were seen for the control sites for the roundabout and VAS sub-programs. Overall the control sites showed an increase in the number of casualty crashes of 5% as opposed to the 22% decline for the treatment sites.

The adjusted crash reductions, taking into account the changes at the control site, are shown in the last column of Table 3.1. Adjusting for the changes in casualty crash frequencies at control sites, the overall percentage casualty crash reduction as a result of the program is estimated to be 27% (=100(1-exp(-.317))).

3.1.2 FSI Crash Reductions

A mixed generalised linear model analysis was used to compare the number of fatal and serious crashes before and after the crash reduction program for each of the sub-programs and for the program as a whole. In these analyses a negative binomial distribution was assumed in order to allow for the high variance in fatal and serious crash counts between sites. Only one site was involved for the VAS treatment so there was no random factor for this analysis, making a Wald Chi-Squared test appropriate in this case, but again assuming a negative binomial distribution for the crash counts.

The shaded portion of Table 3.2 shows the numbers of FSI crashes summed over all the locations in each sub-program category before and after installation, separately for treatment and control sites. It also shows the percentage reduction in FSI crashes during the after period (note that a minus sign in front of the percentage indicates an increase).

Table 3.2 shows a 50% decline in FSI crashes for the barrier protection sub-program, a 78% decline for the intersection sub-program, a 31% decline for the long route sub-program, a 40% decline for the loss of control sub-program, and increases for the roundabout sub-program and the



- 10 - July 2015

VAS sub-program, although the numbers of crashes in these cases are small. Overall, the program was associated with a 33% reduction in fatal and serious crashes.



Table 3.1: Casualty crashes

| | Treatment | | | | Control (*) | | | | Estimated |
|----------------------|-----------|-------|------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Before | After | %reduction | Before | After | %reduction# | Statistic | Significance | adjusted crash reduction |
| Barrier protection | 12 | 9 | 25 | 35 | 48 | -37 | F(1,44) = 2.342 | .133 | 26% |
| Intersection | 13 | 6 | 54 | 64 | 68 | -6 | F(1,12) = .740 | .407 | 49% |
| Long route | 655 | 478 | 27 | 84 | 100 | -19 | F(1,160) = .499 | .481 | 32% |
| Loss of control | 292 | 189 | 35 | 609 | 630 | -3 | F(1,295) = .741 | .390 | 33% |
| Roundabout*** | 3 | 8 | -167 | 13 | 10 | 30 | F(1,15) = 3.587 | .078 | No reduction |
| VAS | 10 | 11 | -10 | 13 | 6 | 54 | F(1,16) = .177 | .680 | No reduction |
| Program as a whole** | 985 | 701 | 29 | 818 | 802 | 5 | F(1,520) = 59.86 | < .001 | 27% |

^{*} sites matched for all sub-programs except Long Route.



- 12 - July 2015

^{**} nesting within subprograms and sites.

^{***} treat results with caution due to small numbers.

[#] minus sign indicates an increase in crashes.

Table 3.2: Fatal and serious crashes

| | Treatment | | | Control (*) | | | | | Estimated |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------|------------|-------------|-------|-------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Before | After | %reduction | Before | After | %reduction# | Statistic | Significance | adjusted crash reduction |
| Barrier protection | 8 | 4 | 50 | 11 | 25 | 127 | F(1,44) = 26.42 | <.001 | 74% |
| Intersection | 9 | 2 | 78 | 35 | 25 | 4 | F(1,12) = 1.941 | .189 | 69% |
| Long route | 380 | 262 | 31 | 53 | 55 | 12 | F(1,160) = .415 | .520 | 29% |
| Loss of control | 153 | 92 | 40 | 240 | 269 | -29 | F(1,296) = 1.397 | .238 | 42% |
| Roundabout | 3 | 5 | -67 | 6 | 5 | 17 | F(1,15) = .016 | .901 | -3% |
| VAS | 3 | 6 | -100 | 6 | 3 | 50 | F(1,16) = .450 | .512 | No reduction |
| Program as a whole ** | 556 | 371 | 33 | 371 | 385 | -10 | F(1,520) = 44.82 | < .001 | 31% |

^{*} sites matched for all sub-programs except Long Route.



^{**} nesting within subprograms and sites.

[#] minus sign indicates an increase in crashes.

Table 3.2 shows for the control sites a 127% increase in fatal and serious crashes for the barrier protection sub-program, a 4% increase for the long route sub-program, a 12% increase for the loss-of-control sub-program, a decrease of 29% for the intersections sub-program. There were also decreases for the VAS and Roundabout sub-programs, but the numbers were small. Overall the control sites showed an increase in the number of fatal and serious crashes of 10% as opposed to the 29% decline for the treatment sites.

The adjusted crash reductions, taking into account the changes at the control site, are shown in the last column of Table 3.2. Adjusting for the changes in casualty crash frequencies at control sites, the overall percentage casualty crash reduction as a result of the program is estimated to be 31% (=100(1-exp(-.371))).

3.1.3 Assessment of the Program Overall

Overall, the program has been a success with an estimated 27% reduction in casualty crashes and an estimated 31% reduction in fatal and serious injury crashes after adjustment for changes at the control sites. These estimates were obtained by fitting a mixed generalised linear negative binomial model with sites nested within sub-programs.

Although the roundabout and variable message sign (VAS) sub-programs were not a success, improvements have been substantial for the long route, intersection, barrier protection and loss-of-control sites, although not always significant because of the variability in the data. However, the overall program improvements are highly significant (p < 0.001), whether considered in terms of motorcycle FSI crashes or all motorcycle casualty crashes.

3.2 Crash Reductions at Different Treatments

3.2.1 Crash Reductions at Treatment Sites

An examination was undertaken of the changes in the distribution of crash types at the treatment sites, before and after installation of the treatments. The purpose of this examination was to determine how effective the treatments had been in reducing the particular types of crash they were designed to reduce.

Each treatment was considered separately. Only DCA codes which had 10 crashes or more in the before period were considered. The analysis was conducted in terms of casualty crashes to generate sufficient numbers.

Inspection of the results showed that only at the long route treatment sites and the loss-of-control sites were there sufficient clusters of crashes to support this approach.

The most frequent crash types at the long route treatment sites, before and after installation, are shown in Table 3.3, along with the percentage reduction achieved. Substantial reductions were achieved in head-on crashes (DCA 120), and in all the DCAs indicating leaving the road or losing control on a curve (DCAs 180, 181, 182, 183 and 184). Since these are the types of event the long route treatment was designed to address, these results suggest the treatments are well targeted.

There was also success in reducing right-through crashes (DCA 121) and collisions with animals (DCA 167), results which are consistent with reduced speeds.

Offsetting these gains there was a slight increase in rear-end crashes, and a more substantial increase in out-of-control on carriageway on straight crashes. However, these increases are insignificant compared to the crash reductions in the other categories.



- 14 - July 2015

DCA Description N before N after % reduction Head-on Right through Rear end -8 Animal (not ridden) Off carriageway to left -33 Out of control on carriageway on straight Off carriageway right bend Off right bend into object Out of control on carriageway – off right bend into object Off left bend into object Out of control on carriageway - on curve

Table 3.3: Reductions in most frequent types of motorcycle casualty crashes at long route sites

A generally similar pattern was observed at the loss-of-control sites. There were substantial reductions in head-on crashes and right-through crashes (DCAs 120 and 121), although the numbers are small in the latter case. All the DCAs indicating leaving the road or losing control on a curve (DCAs 180, 181, 182, 183 and 184) showed moderate to large reductions. One difference with the long route treatments is that in this case, the out-of-control on carriageway on straight category was also reduced (DCA 174). Once more, these results suggest that the program has been well-targeted.

Table 3.4: Reductions in most frequent types of motorcycle casualty crashes at loss-of control-sites

| DCA | Description | N before | N after | % reduction |
|-----|---|----------|---------|-------------|
| 120 | Head-on | 40 | 27 | 33 |
| 121 | Right through | 10 | 3 | 70 |
| 174 | Out of control on carriageway | 44 | 29 | 34 |
| 180 | Off left bend into object | 28 | 18 | 36 |
| 181 | Off right bend into object | 36 | 19 | 47 |
| 182 | Right off carriageway on straight and into object | 27 | 9 | 67 |
| 183 | Off carriageway left bend | 29 | 20 | 31 |
| 184 | Out of control on carriageway | 31 | 21 | 32 |

3.2.2 Check for Crash Migration Effects

The possibility of crash migration effects was mentioned in Section 1.4.3, although it was discounted as being unlikely due to the nature of the treatments and the design of the analysis. As a check that this did not in fact occur, the crash data from the control sites for the loss-of-control treatments was examined to determine if there was any discernible increase in the types of crash that might be expected to increase if riders engaged in compensatory high-risk behaviours once they exited the treatment sites, for example by increasing speed or engaging in more violent manoeuvres.



- 15 - July 2015

Table 3.5: Changes in most frequent types of motorcycle casualty crashes at control sites for loss-of-control treatments

| DCA | Description | N before | N after | % reduction |
|-----|---|----------|---------|-------------|
| 110 | Cross traffic | 17 | 27 | -59 |
| 113 | Right near | 16 | 28 | – 75 |
| 121 | Right through | 92 | 66 | 28 |
| 130 | Rear end | 37 | 47 | -27 |
| 134 | Lane change right | 10 | 18 | -80 |
| 135 | Right off carriageway on straight and into object | 18 | 28 | – 56 |
| 136 | Right turn side swipe | 18 | 3 | 83 |
| 137 | Left turn side swipe | 20 | 12 | 40 |
| 140 | U-turn | 36 | 18 | 50 |
| 163 | Vehicle door | 13 | 9 | 31 |
| 166 | Struck object on carriageway | 17 | 11 | 35 |
| 170 | Off carriageway to left | 11 | 10 | 9 |
| 171 | Straight, off carriageway to left and into object | 10 | 8 | 20 |
| 174 | Out of control on carriageway | 120 | 116 | 3 |
| 184 | Out of control on carriageway | 19 | 12 | 37 |

The opportunity to conduct this check is confined to the control sites for the loss-of-control sections. For the long route treatments, the control sites are geographically remote from the treatment sites, so there is no opportunity for compensatory riding behaviour. For the other treatments, there are no clusters of DCAs that would be suitable for this type of analysis.

Inspection of the data shows that most of the frequent crash types at the control sites for the loss of control treatments involved interactions with other traffic, and the results are mixed with some DCAs increasing and others decreasing. It is noticeable that head-on crashes, which were such a feature at the loss-of-control treatment sites, were not evident either before or after installation.

Four DCA categories related to loss of control or running off the road. The most frequent category (DCA 171) changed little and showed a slight reduction, and the other three categories (DCAs 170, 171 and 184) also showed varying degrees of reductions. There is therefore no suggestion of a crash migration effect from the examination of the crash data.

3.3 Economic Analysis

3.3.1 Inputs to the Economic Evaluation

A benefit-cost analysis (BCA) was conducted for casualty crashes and FSI crashes for the whole program and the individual treatment types at 5%, 6% and 8% discount rates. Section 3.1.1 indicated a reduction of 27% for casualty crashes and Section 3.1.2 indicated a reduction of 31% for FSI crashes. These values were used in the economic evaluation.

The unit crash costs used to estimate the safety benefits were the standard VicRoads values. The key feature of these crash costs is that they vary according to crash severity, and according to the speed zone in which the crash occurs, as shown in Table 3.6.



- 16 - July 2015

Speed zone (km/h) Fatal injury crash (\$) Serious injury crash (\$) Other injury crash (\$) < 50 2 573 000 526 700 21 670 50 2 397 000 552 200 22 390 60 2 493 000 573 500 23 330 70 2 527 000 603 800 24 560 80 2 661 000 618 200 24 880 100 2 815 000 619 300 24 310 110 2 670 000 657 600 25 420

Table 3.6: Crash costs used in the analysis

Source: VicRoads.

The treatments consisted of different combinations of elements such as guide posts, reflectors, resurfacing, frangible signs, and line marking, according to the problem identified at the site and an assessment of what was required to remedy the situation. VicRoads provided an estimated life of the treatment at each site which was based on the life of the most durable elements at the site. Expected life ranged from 2 to 20 years. For each treatment, the treatment life was averaged across all sites and used in the economic evaluation.

The final installation costs were used as the project costs in the evaluation. Table 3.7 gives an overview of the key inputs to the economic evaluation, the average project life, the number of sites and the final cost of all treatments at each group of sites. These values are also estimated for the program as a whole.

Number of sites Final cost (\$) **Treatment** Average project life Barrier protection 20 9 342 486 4 Intersection 18 521 006 Long route 16 61 19 287 632 Loss of control 12 92 11 375 173 4 Roundabout trial 16 84 735 Vehicle activated sign (VAS) 15 6 346 013 31 957 045 Whole program 14 176

Table 3.7: Average project life, number of sites and final cost of treatments in the MBP

Headline results are reported for 5% and 8% discount rates and the average project life for the whole program and each treatment. Since there were no crash reductions at the roundabout and VAS sites, they were clearly did not deliver economic benefits through crash savings. Economic anlayes were not therefore carried out for these treatments. However, their costs are included in the analyses for the program as a whole.

3.3.2 Evaluation in Terms of Casualty Crashes

The whole program performed with a benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of 7.6 at a 5% discount rate and 6.3 at an 8% discount rate. The net present value (NPV) for the proram as a whole was between \$210 763 647 and \$170 196 657, depending on the discount rate.

At the treatment level, all treatment types performed well with BCRs ranging between 5.6 and 13.7 at a 5% discount rate and between 4.7 and 10.8 at an 8% discount rate. The net present value at



- 17 - July 2015

a 5% discount rate ranged from \$2 796 035 for intersection treatments to \$155 538 891for long route treatments and from \$2 138 363 to \$123 495 815 at a 8% discount rate as shown in Table 3.8.

Table 3.8: NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments with varying discount rates when the analysis is conducted in terms of casualty crashes

| | N | let present value (| Benefit-cost ratio | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 5% | 6% | 8% | 5% | 6% | 8% |
| Overall | 210 763 647 | 195 961 611 | 170 196 657 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| Barrier protection* | 4 340 154 | 3 967 304 | 3 346 655 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 10.8 |
| Intersection** | 2 796 035 | 2 551 438 | 2 138 363 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.1 |
| Long route | 155 538 891 | 143 732 861 | 123 495 815 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| Loss of control | 52 124 064 | 48 689 430 | 42 615 758 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.7 |

^{*} less than 10 sites, ** less than 5 sites.

3.3.3 Evaluation in Terms of FSI Crashes

Using FSI crashes, the whole program also performed well with a BCR of 8.5 at a 5% discount rate and 7.1 at an 8% discount rate. For the different treatment types, the BCR ranged from 7.2 for intersections to 15.5 for barrier protection sites at a 5% discount rate and between 5.8 and 12.2 for the same treatments at an 8% discount rate. The net present values ranged from \$3 219 814 to \$176 971 625 at a 5% discount rate and from \$2 478 120 to \$141 000 250 at an 8% discount rate as Table 3.9 shows.

Table 3.9: NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments with varying discount rates when the analysis is conducted in terms of FSI crashes

| | | Net present value | Benefit cost ratio | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 5% | 6% | 8% | 5% | 6% | 8% |
| Overall | 240 357 220 | 223 750 454 | 194 844 124 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.1 |
| Barrier protection* | 4 957 864 | 4 535 830 | 3 833 308 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 12.2 |
| Intersection** | 3 219 814 | 2 943 968 | 2 478 120 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 5.8 |
| Long route | 176 971 625 | 163 718 243 | 141 000 250 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 8.3 |
| Loss of control | 59 684 510 | 55 840 936 | 49 044 110 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.3 |

^{*} less than 10 sites, ** less than 5 sites.

The whole program BCRs for FSI crashes were 13% higher than those for casualty crashes while the treatment type BCRs were between 12% and 13% higher for FSI crashes than casualty crashes.

3.3.4 Sensitivity Test

A sensitivity test was undertaken using the minimum and maximum project life for the whole program and for the different treatments. The test involved assuming that the treatments would last for shorter or longer periods than those assumed in Table 3.7, then applying similar analyses and determining whether the program would still have attractive economic returns. Five years was selected as the minimum period, and 20 years the maximum.



- 18 - July 2015

Sensitivity test 1 – Project life of 5 years

Assuming the project life is 5 years, the whole program still performed well. The BCR was 3.3 at a 5% discount rate and 3.1 at an 8% discount rate. Similarly, all the individual treatments performed well with BCRs ranging between 2.4 and 4.7 at a 5% discount rate and between 2.2 and 4.4 at an 8% discount rate as shown in Table 3.10.

Table 3.10: Sensitivity test 1 – NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments, casualty crashes, with 5-year project life

| | N | let present value | (\$) | Benefit cost ratio | | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|-----|-----|--|
| Discount rate | 5% | 6% | 8% | 5% | 6% | 8% | |
| Whole program | 74 204 354 | 71 332 675 | 65 946 632 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | |
| Barrier protection* | 1 284 303 | 1 240 298 | 1 157 763 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.4 | |
| Intersection** | 707 528 | 674 296 | 611 967 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | |
| Long route | 50 552 140 | 48 662 965 | 45 119 681 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | |
| Loss of control | 19 642 616 | 18 803 581 | 17 229 909 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | |

^{: *} less than 10 sites, ** less than 5 sites.

For FSI crashes, the whole program BCR was 3.7 at a 5% discount rate and 3.4 at an 8% discount rate. For the individual treatment types, the BCRs ranged between 2.7 and 5.4 at a 5% discount rate and between 2.5 and 5 at an 8% discount rate as Table 3.11 shows.

Table 3.11: NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments, FSI crashes, with 5-year project life

| | N | et present value (| \$) | Benefit-cost ratio | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| Discount rate | 5% | 6% | 8% | 5% | 6% | 8% | | |
| Whole program | 87 148 018 | 83 926 212 | 77 883 478 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | | |
| Barrier protection* | 1 498 900 | 1 449 091 | 1 355 669 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.0 | | |
| Intersection** | 864 483 | 827 005 | 756 713 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | | |
| Long route | 59 114 097 | 56 993 321 | 53 015 650 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.7 | | |
| Loss of control | 23 335 704 | 22 396 771 | 20 635 732 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | | |

^{*} less than 10 sites ** less than 5 sites.

Sensitivity test 2 – Project life of twenty years

Assuming 20 years project life, the whole program BCR was 9.6 at a 5% discount rate and 7.5 at an 8% discount rate while treatment BCRs ranged between 6.8 and 10.9 at a 5% discount rate and between 5.3 and 9 at an 8% discount rate as Table 3.12 shows.

Table 3.12: Sensitivity test 2 - NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments, casualty crashes, with 20-year project life

| Casualty crashes | N | let present value | (\$) | Benefit-cost ratio | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|------|-----|--|--|
| Discount rate | 5% 6% | | 8% | 5% | 6% | 8% | | |
| Whole program | 273 623 927 | 249 292 395 | 208 789 897 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 7.5 | | |
| Barrier protection* | 3 376 900 | 3 150 078 | 2 755 262 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 9.0 | | |
| Intersection** | 3 015 274 | 2 733 702 | 2 264 994 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 5.3 | | |
| Long route | 181 743 114 | 165 736 273 | 139 091 134 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 8.2 | | |
| Loss of control | 77 908 182 | 70 799 098 | 58 965 250 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 6.2 | | |

^{*} less than 10 sites, ** less than 5 sites.



- 19 - July 2015

For FSI crashes, the whole program BCR was 10 at a 5% discount rate and 7.9 at an 8% discount rate. For the individual treatments, the BCRs were between 7.7 and 12.3 at a 5% discount rate and between 6 and 14.6 at an 8% discount rate as Table 3.13 shows.

Table 3.13: Sensitivity test 2 – NPVs and BCRs for the different treatments, FSI crashes, with 20-year project life

| FSI crashes | N | et present value (| \$) | Benefit-cost ratio | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|------|------|--|--|
| Discount rate | 5% | 6% | 8% | 5% | 6% | 8% | | |
| Whole program | 308 613 175 | 281 315 035 | 235 874 295 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 7.9 | | |
| Barrier protection* | 3 867 543 | 3 610 799 | 3 163 902 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 10.2 | | |
| Intersection** | 3 467 063 | 3 149 518 | 2 620 929 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 6.0 | | |
| Long route | 204 119 810 | 186 150 621 | 156 238 940 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 8.2 | | |
| Loss of control | 88 538 577 | 80 583 060 | 67 340 231 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 6.9 | | |

^{*} less than 10 sites, ** less than 5 sites.

The findings showed that shortening the project life reduced the BCRs for all the treatments and the whole program while increasing the project life increased the BCRs. Additionally, reducing the project life also reduced the differences in the BCRs at the different discount rates whist increasing the project life also increased the impact of the discount rates on the BCRs.

Overall, the whole program performed well with the different treatment types also performing well as indicated by the positive net present values and the BCRs above 1.

3.3.5 Cost-Effectiveness

A further indicator that is of interest is cost-effectiveness of treatments, or the average investment required to prevent a casualty crash; it is particularly useful when determining which treatments should be priorities. The cost-effectiveness of each of the treatments and the key steps in their calculation are shown in Table 3.9. Crash savings per year are estimated, based on the before crashes and the crash reduction factor derived from the analysis. Crash savings over the life of the project are estimated by multiplying annual savings by the expected treatment life. Project costs are then divided by lifetime crash savings to get the average cost per casualty crash saved, i.e. the cost-effectiveness of the treatment.

Table 3.14 shows that the cost-effectiveness for the whole program was approximately \$80 000, with individual treatments ranging between \$40 000 for barrier protection to \$110 000 for the loss-of-control, the most widely used treatment.

Table 3.14: Average cost per motorcycle FSI crash prevented for different treatments in the MBP

| Treatment | Average project life | Number of sites | Final cost (\$) | FSI crashes | Crash savings/yr | Lifetime crash savings | Cost effectiveness |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Whole program | 14 | 176* | 31 957 045 | 530 | 28.6 | 405.7 | 78 780 |
| Barrier protection | 20 | 9 | 342 486 | 8 | 0.43 | 8.6 | 39 640 |
| Intersection | 18 | 4 | 521 006 | 9 | 0.49 | 8.5 | 61 259 |
| Long route | 16 | 61 | 19 287 632 | 354 | 19.12 | 296.8 | 64 992 |
| Loss-of-control | 12 | 92 | 11 375 173 | 153 | 8.26 | 102.8 | 110 625 |

^{*}including the 4 roundabout sites and 6 VAS sites which were not effective in reducing crashes.



- 20 - July 2015

3.3.6 Limitations of the Economic Evaluation

It is important to recognise the following limitations on the economic analysis:

- No allowance has been made for maintenance costs over the life of the treatments. In practice, these are unlikely to be important as most of the treatment should require little maintenance in the course of their expected lives.
- 2. No allowance has been made for safety benefits other than changes to the number and severity of motorcycle crashes. In practice, other road users are likely to experience fewer crashes as a result of the treatments. The earlier evaluation of the MBP (Scully et al. 2008) indicated that the number of non-motorcycle casualty crashes prevented by the program was equivalent to 74% of the number of motorcycle crashes prevented (see Table 4.11 of their report, p. 46).
- 3. No allowance has been made for the impact of the treatments on other aspects of road performance such as reduced travel time, reduced emissions or increased comfort. Given the nature of the treatments, these benefits are likely to be small.

3.4 Changes in Effectiveness as the Program Proceeds

One possible question is whether the MBP declines in effectiveness over time as the highest-risk sites are treated and where crash numbers are lower or the available treatments which have less effect are taken up by the program. As a check on this possibility, crash sites were listed by the year in which the treatment was completed, along with the crashes in the 5 year before period along with the crashes in the after period. The percentage reduction was then calculated, making adjustments where necessary for the shorter after period for projects that were completed after 2009. The results are shown in Table 3.15. No projects were completed in 2013, and data from 2014 were excluded as only a few months of after data were available.

| Year work completed | After | Before | % Reduction |
|---------------------|-------|--------|-------------|
| 2003 | 10 | 18 | 44 |
| 2004 | 102 | 133 | 23 |
| 2005 | 23 | 32 | 28 |
| 2006 | 201 | 231 | 13 |
| 2007 | 92 | 95 | 3 |
| 2008 | 91 | 139 | 35 |
| 2009 | 63 | 56 | -13 |
| 2010 | 58 | 74 | 22 |
| 2011 | 55 | 142 | 61 |
| 2012 | 5 | 24 | 79 |

Table 3.15: Crash reductions at treatment site in after period

Although Table 3.15 shows that crash reductions fluctuated considerably, there was no tendency for the percentage crash reductions to decline in the latter years of the program.

3.5 Best-performing Sites

It is of interest to discover whether there were any sites where particularly large reductions in crashes were achieved. This was determined by inspecting the number of FSI crashes at each site, before and after the treatment. Percentage reductions in crashes were not helpful in this



- 21 - July 2015

exercise as some sites had no crashes in the before period, and others had very high percentage reductions on the basis of a reduction of one or two crashes. Instead, the reduction in FSI crashes in the after period was the basis for comparison, adjusted when necessary for an after period of less than 5 years. These cases have been marked by an asterisk in Table 3.16 and Table 3.17.

Inspection of the results suggested that relatively few sites had achieved a reduction of 5 or more FSI crashes, or the equivalent of one crash per year, and that this would be a suitable benchmark. Sites which met this criterion are shown in Table 3.16. Since all the long routes cover several kilometres and typically have more crashes in the before and after periods than the other treatment categories, they tend to have the greatest crash reductions and are the predominant type of treatment in Table 3.16.

Many of the greatest crash reductions have been achieved on major motorcycling tourist routes, such as the Great Ocean Road, the Great Alpine Road and the Maroondah Highway, as well as on less well-known routes that are popular with motorcyclists, such as the Warburton-Woods Point Road.

The data were reviewed again to identify sites that had achieved a crash reduction of 4 crashes over the 5 year period (or were estimated to be likely to do so). A further 7 sites were identified, which were spread across the 3 different treatment categories. Once again, popular motorcycle touring routes are featured, including the Great Ocean Road, the Mt. Dandenong Tourist road and Walhalla Road.

| Treatment type | Shire | Route | Year completed | Cost | Reduction in FSI crashes over 5-year period |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---|
| | Alpine Shire | Great Alpine road | 2009 | \$238 000 | 13 |
| | Bass Coast | Phillip Island Tourist Road | 2009 | \$308 000 | 6 |
| | Corangamite | Great Ocean Road | 2008 | \$580 000 | 7 |
| | Mansfield & Wangaratta | Mansfield-Whitfield Rd | 2007 | \$78 000 | 8 |
| Long route | Melbourne | Johnston St | 2008 | \$275 000 | 6 |
| | Yarra | Maroondah Hwy | 2008 | \$469 000 | 10 |
| | Surf Coast | *Great Ocean Road | 2011 | \$280 000 | 11 |
| | Surfcoast & Colac Otway | Great Ocean Road* | 2010 | \$1 922 000 | 17 |
| | Towong | Murray River Road* | 2011 | \$1 134 000 | 8 |
| Loss of | Colac Otway | Great Ocean Road | 2003 | \$8 000 | 5 |
| | | | | | |

Table 3.16: Sites where a reduction of 5 or more FSI crashes in 5 years was achieved

Although some treatments achieved large reductions in FSI crashes, they did so at high cost, while other treatments also achieved large reductions but at much more modest cost. The shaded areas in Table 3.16 and Table 3.17 indicate treatments where the average cost per FSI crash reduction was less than \$30 000, indicating projects that represent good value for money, as well as effective crash reduction. It should be noted that this analysis is indicative only as it is based only on the first 5 years of the project's life and does not take discounting into account. Full economic analysis is presented for each sub-program in Section 3.3.

2006

Warburton-Woods Point



control

Yarra Ranges

- 22 - July 2015

\$62,000

12

^{*} indicates an adjustment was made to the crash reductions to take account of an after period of less than 5 years.

Table 3.17: Sites where a reduction of 4 FSI crashes in 5 years was achieved

| Treatment type | Shire | Route | Year completed | Cost | Reduction in FSI crashes over 5-year period |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| Intersection | Frankston | Seaford Road and Ti-Tree Crescent | 2006 | \$28 000 | 4 |
| Long route | Campaspe & Gannawarra | Murray Valley Highway | 2008 | \$619 000 | 4 |
| | Colac/Otway | Great Ocean Road | 2008 | \$470 000 | 4 |
| | Nullimbik | Kangaroo Ground-Warrandyte Road | 2011 | \$50 000 | 4 |
| Loss of | Baw Baw | Walhalla Road | 2011 | \$621 000 | 4 |
| control | Murrindindi | Eildon – Jamieson Rd | 2004 | \$60 000 | 4 |
| | Yarra Ranges | Mt Dandenong Tourist Road | 2004 | \$50 000 | 4 |

^{*} indicates an adjustment was made to the crash reductions to take account of an after period of less than 5 years.



4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Overall Effectiveness of the Program

The program as a whole has proved to be highly effective and returns good value for the investment.

Considered from the point of view of all motorcycle casualty crashes, the program has reduced all casualty crashes by 27%, which is statistically highly significant. Considered in these terms, the BCR ranges from 6.3 to 7.6 and the NPV ranges from \$170 million to \$211 million, depending on the discount rate adopted.

Considered from the point of view of motorcycle FSI crashes, the program has reduced FSI crashes by 31%, which again is statistically highly significant. Considered in these terms, the BCR ranges from 7.1 to 8.5 and the NPV ranges from \$195 million to \$240 million, depending on the discount rate adopted.

The average cost of preventing an FSI crash is almost \$80 000. This compares favourably with the estimated average cost a serious casualty crash (not taking into account fatalities), which is \$527 700 to \$657 700, depending on the speed zone.

Considering the program as a whole, the general trend is for overall crashes at treatment sites to reduce, and for crashes at control sites to stay almost the same, as happened for casualty crashes, or to increase, as happened with FSI crashes (Table 3.1 and Table 3.2).

These results are clear evidence that the program as a whole is effective and returning good value for the \$32 million dollar investment.

4.2 Effectiveness of Different Program Elements

The majority of the treatments also showed reduced crashes and good economic performance. However, when the treatments were considered individually, most did not show statistically significant improvements. The crash reduction factors estimated for the program as a whole have therefore been used in the evaluations of all treatments. When the changes in crash types were considered for each treatment, where there was sufficient data, the crash types which would be expected to show the greatest reduction did in fact do so. These were largely the run-off-road on bend categories, and in some cases, head-on crashes. The results for each of the treatments is summarised below.

4.2.1 Barrier Protection

There was a 26% non-significant reduction in casualty crashes, but a highly significant 74% reduction in FSI crashes; the latter result greatly exceeds the reduction in FSI for the program as a whole. In view of this statistically highly significant result, the crash reduction factor associated with this treatment could be taken as 0.74 rather than the 0.31 used for the program as a whole and for the other treatments. Only 9 sites were treated, and therefore numbers of individual crash types were too small to come to conclusions about the changes in patterns of DCAs. Economic analysis in terms of both casualty crashes and FSI crashes indicates that the BCR is approximately double that of the program as a whole.

It may therefore be concluded that the barrier protection treatment has greatly reduced FSI crashes and is highly cost-effective.



- 24 - July 2015

4.2.2 Intersection Treatments

Only 4 sites were treated. Crash numbers were too small to identify any changes in the pattern of crashes. BCRs for intersection treatment were lower than average for the program, but still offered a good return.

The intersection treatments therefore appear to be promising and should continue; however, more data on their performance is required before full confidence can be placed in them as an effective treatment.

4.2.3 Long Route Treatments

There were 61 long route sites, each of which covered several kilometres, so that there were large numbers of crashes available for analysis. Long route treatments showed crash reductions that were close to the average for the program, but the before-after differences were not statistically significant when the long route treatments were considered on their own. When the crash types were considered individually, there were reductions in the key crash types that the treatment was designed to address, i.e. head-on, and the run-off-carriageway on bend categories. There were also reductions in the right-through and struck animal categories, suggesting that speeds may have been reduced. The only category to increase was out-of-control on carriageway on straight, for unknown reasons.

The pattern of crash reductions therefore suggests that the long route treatments have been successful in addressing the type of crash they were intended for. Benefit-cost ratios are better than most other treatments; only the barrier protection treatments had better BCRs.

Confidence can therefore be placed in the long route treatments as an effective treatment.

4.2.4 Loss-of-Control Treatments

There were 92 sites, chosen for their high crash numbers. Large numbers of crashes were therefore available for analysis. Both casualty crash reductions and FSI crash reductions were slightly better than the program average, but the comparisons were not statistically significant. As was the case with the long route treatments, there were substantial reductions in the types of crash the loss-of-control treatment was designed to address – head-on, and all the left carriageway on bend categories. In this case, both out-of-control on straight and out-of-control on curve were reduced, as was the right-through category. There were no substantial increases in any crash type.

In this case, some additional analysis was possible that suggested there was no effect of crash migration, at least not into the adjacent control sites.

Benefit-cost ratios were just below the average for the program. Confidence may therefore be placed in the loss-of-control treatments as effective treatments.

4.2.5 Roundabout Treatments

There were only 4 sites. The number of crashes was small and crashes actually increased. It cannot therefore be claimed that this treatment is effective. While further trials may be justified following a different approach to treatment, the roundabout treatment cannot be regarded as effective for motorcycle crashes at this stage.



- 25 - July 2015

4.2.6 VAS Treatment

The VAS treatment was trialled at 5 sites, but crashes increased rather than decreased. The treatment cannot therefore be regarded as effective for motorcycle crashes.

4.3 Comparison with Previous Studies

The most important comparison is that with the previous MBP evaluation of the VicRoads MBP (Scully et al. 2008). The present study benefits from having more years of data in the analysis from the sites examined by Scully et al. and by including new sites that have been added to the program since then. Nevertheless, the results from the studies are broadly comparable in that they both found a substantial reduction in motorcycle crashes following the installation of the MBP treatments and that the MBP provided a good return on the investment.

The present study found a reduction in motorcycle casualty crashes of 27%, which was highly significant, and a reduction of 31% in motorcycle FSI crashes, which was also highly significant. The previous evaluation found a reduction of 24% in motorcycle casualty crashes, which was not significant, and a 16% reduction in motorcycle FSI crashes, which was not significant. The estimated BCR in the present study was in the range 6.3 to 7.6 when considered in terms of casualty crashes, and 7.1 to 8.5 when considered in terms of FSI only. The previous evaluation estimated the BCR at 15.1.

The present study indicates higher costs per FSI prevented than did the Scully et al. evaluation. The Scully et al. estimate was approximately \$33 000, but the estimate from the present study was \$80 000, with the cost-effectiveness for the two most frequently used treatments being \$65 000 for the long route treatments and \$111 000 for the loss-of-control treatments. Although the analysis does not permit a definitive answer on this point, it is possible that the worst sites were treated early in the program so that Scully et al. may have evaluated sites where there was greater scope for crash reduction than was the case in the present study.

More conventional programs, where the blackspots have been selected on the basis of crashes involving all vehicles and the treatments designed with all types of road users in mind further indicates that the MBP is returning good value for money. For example, Scully et al. cite two relatively recent blackspot programs where the cost of preventing a motorcycle FSI crash was \$534 841 and \$413 112. However, it must be remembered that these blackspot programs do not have the specific aim of preventing motorcycle crashes and therefore it is likely that many elements of the program are not particularly helpful for motorcyclists. It should also be remembered that the routes where the general blackspot programs apply have a low proportion of motorcycles in the vehicle mix and that the blackspot programs generally result produce substantial returns in terms of reductions in crashes involving other classes of road user.



- 26 - July 2015

5 CONCLUSIONS

Considered as a whole, the program has been successful in reducing motorcycle casualty crashes (by 27%) and FSI crashes (by 31%), both these reductions being statistically highly significant.

The program also showed good economic returns. When considered in terms of motorcycle casualty crashes, the BCR was between 6.3 and 7.6 and has an NPV of between \$170 million and \$211 million, depending on the assumed discount rate. When considered in terms of motorcycle FSI crashes, the BCR was between 7.1 and 8.5, and the NPV was between \$195 million and \$240 million. The cost of the program has been just under \$32 million.

The barrier protection program has been particularly effective in reducing FSI crashes (by 74%), and shows the best economic returns.

The long route treatments and the loss-of-control treatments have both been successful in reducing crashes and show good economic returns. In both cases, sufficient numbers of sites have received the treatments to allow confidence in the results.

The intersection treatments also showed good reductions in motorcycle crashes, but the number of sites is small; although the BCRs are lower than for other treatments, they still indicate a good return on investment. While this treatment is positive, it needs to be trialled at more sites before full confidence can be placed in it.

Neither the trial roundabout treatments nor the trial VAS treatments resulted in crash reductions.



REFERENCES

- ABS 2013, Survey of motor vehicle use Australia: 12 months ended June 2012, Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra, ACT, viewed 4 June 2015, http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/9208.012%20months%20ended%2030%20June%202012?OpenDocument.
- Australian Transport Council 2006, *National guidelines for transport system management in Australia: 3: appraisal of initiatives*, 2nd edn, ATC, Canberra, ACT.
- Cairney, P, 2010, *The Road Safety Consequences of Changing Travel Modes*, report AP-R361-10, Austroads, Sydney.
- Nicholson, AJ 1986, 'Estimation of the underlying true accident rate: a new procedure', *ARRB conference,* 13th, 1986, Adelaide, South Australia, Australian Road Research Board, Vermont South, Vic, vol. 13, part 9, pp. 1-11.
- PIARC 2012, State of the practice for cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA), cost-benefit analysis (CBA) and resource allocation, PIARC technical committee C.2 safer road operations, World Road Association (PIARC), Paris, France.
- Scully, J, Newstead, S & Corben, B 2008, *Evaluation of the Motorcycle Blackspot Program*, consultancy report to VicRoads, Monash University Accident Research Centre, Clayton, Vic.
- VicRoads n.d., 'Guidelines for on-road treatments for motorcycle crashes: extract', unpublished, VicRoads, Kew, Vic.



APPENDIX A LONG ROUTE CONTROL SITES

| No | Road name | Road number | Start page | Start reference | End page | End reference |
|----|---|----------------|------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|
| 1 | Sunraysia Hwy | B220 | 42 | F5, 69 | | H2, 92 |
| 2 | Wimmera Hwy | C241 | 42 | E3, 48 | | C8, 81 |
| 3 | Maryborough/St Arnaud(Sunraysia Hwy to edge of Maryborough) | C275 | 42 | G6, 87 | 58 | D4, 24 |
| 4 | Beaufort/Talbot Rd | C172 | 57 | H5, 85 | 58 | D4, 24 |
| 5 | Ballarat/Maryborough | C287 | 58 | D5, 85 | | D10, 66 |
| 6 | Colac/Ballarat | C146 | 76 | D5, 85 | | F4, 46 |
| 7 | Carlisle/Colac | C161 | 100 | H4, 22 | 91 | J8, 84 |
| 8 | Colac/Lavers Hill Rd | C155 | 91 | B7, 83 | 101 | A4, 30 |
| 9 | Westernport Rd | C431 | 96 | F1, 44 | | B5, 69 |
| 10 | Drouin/Korumburra | C432 | 96 | F1, 44 | | E2, 74 |
| 11 | Strezlecki Hwy | B460 | 97 | G9, 53 | | A6, 93 |
| 12 | Traralgon/Balook | C483 | 98 | A7, 67 | | B1, 87 |
| 13 | Grand Ridge, Tarra Valley | C484 | 98 | B1, 87 | | C3, 99 |
| 14 | Wilson's Promontory | C444 | 103 | B4, 54 | | E8, 104 |
| 15 | S. Gippsland Hwy | A440 | 96 | B0, 68 | 103 | H0, 46 |
| 16 | Omeo Hwy | C534 | 36 | E8, 60 | 50 | H8, 34 |
| 17 | Omeo Hwy | C534 | 50 | H7, 44 | 50 | J2, 52 |
| 18 | Kiewa Valley Hwy | C531 | 36 | B5, 88 | 50 | E9, 75 |
| 19 | Wangaratta/Whitfield/Mansfield Rd | C521 | 48 | H3, 37 | 99 | C3, 46 |
| 20 | Rosedale/Longford Rd | C485 | 98 | F5, 44 | | F4, 46 |
| 21 | Mirboo North/Trafalgar Rd | C469 | 97 | E2, 75 | 97 | D6, 52 |
| 22 | Hamilton Hwy | B140 | 73 | C3, 63 | 90 | E5, 29 |
| 23 | Murray Valley Hwy | B400 | 7 | F4, 44 | 14 | A3, 62 |
| 24 | Wangaratta/Beechworth/Wodonga Rd | C315 | 34 | J3, 83 | 35 | G3, 47 |



APPENDIX B DETAILS OF THE PROGRAM

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| East Gippsland Shire | Great Alpine Road west of Omeo | 2007 | Barrier Protection | 84 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moorabool Shire | Myrniong-Trentham Rd | 2006 | Barrier Protection | 66 128 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | -1 | -3 |
| Murrindindi Shire | Lake Mountain Rd | 2006 | Barrier Protection | 45 001 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Yarra Ranges | Burwood Hwy | 2007 | Barrier Protection | 11 378 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | -1 |
| Yarra Ranges | Warburton-Woods Point Rd | 2007 | Barrier Protection | 13 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yarra Ranges | Maroondah Hwy | 2007 | Barrier Protection | 25 405 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yarra Ranges | Warburton-Woods Point Rd | 2007 | Barrier Protection | 4 627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yarra Ranges | Eltham-Yarra Glen Rd | 2009 | Barrier Protection | 17 879 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Great Ocean Road | 2006 | Barrier Protection | 74 710 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | | Total | 342 486 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| Frankston | Seaford Road and Ti-Tree Crescent | 2006 | Intersection | 28 101 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Melbourne City Council | Swan St | 2010 | Intersection | 213 080 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Melbourne City Council | Power St | 2010 | Intersection | 210 003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The City of Yarra | St Georges Rd | 2010 | Intersection | 69 823 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| | | | Total | 521 006 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Alpine Shire | Great Alpine Road | 2007 | Long Route | 62 998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alpine Shire | Bright-Tawonga Road | 2008 | Long Route | 67 000 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0 | -3 |
| Alpine Shire | Great Alpine Road | 2011 | Long Route | 175 112 | 22 | 29 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 16 |
| Bass Coast | Phillip Island Tourist Road | 2009 | Long Route | 308 454 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| Bass Coast | Back Beach Road | 2009 | Long Route | 89 000 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | -3 |
| Baw Baw | Lang Lang-Poowong Road | 2006 | Long Route | 47 549 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 9 | -4 | -2 |
| Baw Baw | Walhalla Road | 2006 | Long Route | 26 351 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -2 | -2 |



- 30 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|---|------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Baw Baw | Willowgrove Road | 2009 | Long Route | 354 818 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | -2 | - 5 |
| Baw Baw Shire | Mt Baw Baw Rd | 2009 | Long Route | 330 024 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 0 | - 5 |
| Campaspe & Gannawarra | Murray Valley Highway | 2008 | Long Route | 619 000 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Cardinia | Gembrook Road | 2006 | Long Route | 65 609 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 2 |
| Cardinia Shire | Healesville-Koo-Wee-Rup Road | 2007 | Long Route | 86 184 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| Colac Otway Shire | Forrest-Apollo Bay Road | 2007 | Long Route | 81 000 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 | -2 | -1 |
| Colac Otway Shire | Great Ocean Road | 2008 | Long Route | 470 326 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 8 |
| Corangamite Shire Council | Great Ocean Road | 2008 | Long Route | 580 000 | 15 | 24 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 8 |
| East Gippsland | Omeo Highway | 2007 | Long Route | 20 211 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| East Gippsland | Buchan Orbost Road | 2009 | Long Route | 304 512 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| East Gippsland Shire | Great Alpine Road | 2007 | Long Route | 380 054 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 24 | -7 | -10 |
| East Gippsland Shire & Wellington Shire | Dargo Rd | 2010 | Long Route | 400 028 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| Golden Plains Shire | Steiglitz Rd | 2011 | Long Route | 195 000 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Hepburn Shire | Midland Hwy | 2009 | Long Route | 406 500 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 | -1 |
| LaTrobe and Baw Baw | Tyers-Thomson Valley Road | 2006 | Long Route | 111 734 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 11 | -3 | -2 |
| LaTrobe and Baw Baw | Moe Rawson Road | 2008 | Long Route | 220 025 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 | -1 |
| Latrobe/Baw Baw Shire | Tyers-Thomson Valley Rd | 2011 | Long Route | 494 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manningham | Ringwood-Warrandyte Road | 2006 | Long Route | 84 647 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 8 | -3 | 0 |
| Mansfield/Wangaratta | Mansfield-Whitfield Rd | 2007 | Long Route | 78 057 | 18 | 31 | 10 | 25 | 8 | 6 |
| Melbourne | Johnston St | 2008 | Long Route | 275 467 | 9 | 24 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 12 |
| Melbourne | Victoria St | 2009 | Long Route | 332 443 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | -3 | -4 |
| Mitchell Shire and Murrindindi Shire | Broadford-Flowerdale Road | 2006 | Long Route | 84 785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moorabool | Myrniong Trentham Road | 2008 | Long Route | 373 983 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | - 1 | -2 |



- 31 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Mornington Peninsula | Rosebud-Flinders Road | 2006 | Long Route | 114 938 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 |
| Mornington Peninsula | Arthurs Seat Road | 2006 | Long Route | 30 368 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 10 | -2 | – 5 |
| Mornington Peninsula | Mornington-Flinders Road | 2007 | Long Route | 81 253 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Murrindindi and Yarra Ranges Shires | Healesville-Kinglake Road | 2006 | Long Route | 34 002 | 10 | 20 | 15 | 22 | - 5 | -2 |
| Nillumbik | Heidelberg-Kinglake Road | 2006 | Long Route | 23 083 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | -2 | - 5 |
| Nillumbik/Yarra Ranges | Eltham-Yarra Glen Rd | 2007 | Long Route | 241 551 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 6 | -2 | 1 |
| Nilumbik | Eltham-Yarra Glen Rd | 2011 | Long Route | 329 985 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Nilumbik | Kangaroo Ground-Warrandyte Road | 2011 | Long Route | 286 423 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Nilumbik | Research-Warrandyte Road | 2011 | Long Route | 335 992 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Northern Grampians and Ararat | Grampians Road | 2008 | Long Route | 82 993 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Northern Grampians Shire and and Horsham Rural City | Northern Grampians Rd | 2006 | Long Route | 35 499 | 5 | 18 | 6 | 11 | -1 | 7 |
| Port Phillip/Melbourne | St Kilda Road | 2009 | Long Route | 246 229 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 2 | -3 |
| Shire Of Mitchell, Shire Of Murrindindi | Broadford-Flowerdale Road | 2010 | Long Route | 827 000 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 15 | -2 | -6 |
| Shire of Mount Alexander | Pyrenees Hwy | 2010 | Long Route | 600 000 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| South Gippsland and Baw Baw | Korumburra-Warragul Road | 2006 | Long Route | 144 198 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 0 | -2 |
| Surf Coast Shire | Deans Marsh-Lorne Road | 2006 | Long Route | 169 894 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | -1 | 3 |
| Surf Coast Shire | Deans Marsh-Lorne Road | 2011 | Long Route | 480 000 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Surf Coast Shire | Great Ocean Road | 2011 | Long Route | 280 000 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 11 |
| Surfcoast Shire & Colac Otway Shire | Great Ocean Road | 2010 | Long Route | 1 922 000 | 26 | 39 | 7 | 15 | 19 | 24 |
| The City of Yarra | Swan St | 2010 | Long Route | 270 007 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 10 | -2 | -4 |



- 32 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|------------------------------|--|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Towong Shire | Murray River Road, Granya Rd, and Murray Valley Hwy | 2011 | Long Route | 1 134 263 | 26 | 35 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 20 |
| Wellington | Licola Road | 2006 | Long Route | 165 611 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
| Yarra Ranges | Warburton-Woods Point Road | 2006 | Long Route | 62 346 | 26 | 53 | 14 | 30 | 12 | 23 |
| Yarra Ranges | Marysville-Woods Point Road | 2006 | Long Route | 37 578 | 10 | 22 | 20 | 25 | -10 | -3 |
| Yarra Ranges | Old Warburton Road | 2006 | Long Route | 47 414 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Yarra Ranges | Donna Buang Road inc. Acheron Way southern sealed section | 2007 | Long Route | 140 228 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | -1 |
| Yarra Ranges | Maroondah Hwy | 2008 | Long Route | 469 350 | 28 | 46 | 18 | 27 | 10 | 19 |
| Yarra Ranges | Warburton-Woodspoint Rd | 2010 | Long Route | 594 617 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | – 1 |
| Baw Baw Shire Council | Westernport Rd | 2014 | Long Route | 448 000 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| East Gippsland Shire Council | Bonang Road | 2014 | Long Route | 1 732 616 | 18 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 23 |
| Mansfield Shire | Euroa-Mansfield Road | 2012 | Long Route | 795 001 | 7 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 13 |
| | | | Total | 19 287 632 | 380 | 655 | 262 | 478 | 118 | 177 |
| Alpine Shire | Great Alpine Road | 2006 | Loss of Control | 38 001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alpine Shire | Great Alpine Road | 2006 | Loss of Control | 340 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alpine Shire | Mount Buffalo Road | 2006 | Loss of Control | 8 999 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| Alpine Shire | Bright-Tawonga Road | 2006 | Loss of Control | 26 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alpine Shire | Bogong High Plains Road | 2006 | Loss of Control | 139 986 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bass Coast | Bunurong Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 68 185 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Bass Coast | Bunurong Road | 2012 | Loss of Control | 780 057 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Baw Baw | Mount Baw Baw Rd | 2003 | Loss of Control | 14 490 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 1 |
| Baw Baw | Yarra Junction-Noojee Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 52 937 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Baw Baw | Nayook – Powelltown Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 52 670 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Baw Baw | Walhalla Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 69 318 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |



- 33 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|------------------------------|--|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Baw Baw | Korumburra-Warragul Rd | 2006 | Loss of Control | 105 343 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Baw Baw/South Gippsland | Korumburra-Warragul Rd | 2003 | Loss of Control | 24 543 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Baw Baw Shire | Forest Road | 2007 | Loss of Control | 18 949 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Baw Baw Shire | Mt Baw Baw Rd | 2009 | Loss of Control | 662 017 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Baw Baw Shire | Walhalla Rd | 2011 | Loss of Control | 621 006 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Baw Baw Shire Council | Moe Willowgrove Rd | 2014 | Loss of Control | 568 581 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Benalla | Lima East Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 1 981 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | – 1 |
| Boroondara | High Street | 2008 | Loss of Control | 82 398 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Cardinia | Black Snake Creek Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 18 316 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Cardinia | Beaconsfield-Emerald Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 53 693 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -1 |
| Cardinia | Healesville-Koo-Wee-Rup Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 8 936 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cardinia | Pakenham Road (Healesville-Koo- Wee-Rup Rd) | 2005 | Loss of Control | 75 149 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| City of Yarra | Hoddle St/Eastern Fwy Onramp | 2007 | Loss of Control | 96 736 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Colac Otway | Great Ocean Road | 2003 | Loss of Control | 7 823 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| Colac-Otway | Great Ocean Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 184 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Colac-Otway | Great Ocean Road | 2005 | Loss of Control | 119 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Colac-Otway | Great Ocean Road | 2005 | Loss of Control | 74 992 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| Darebin | Plenty Road (Whittlesea Rd.) | 2003 | Loss of Control | 13 046 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| Docklands | Docklands Highway | 2004 | Loss of Control | 37 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Docklands | Docklands Highway (Charles Grimes Bridge) | 2006 | Loss of Control | 202 119 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | -1 | -2 |
| East Gippsland | Great Alpine Road | 2006 | Loss of Control | 134 790 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 11 | -3 | -6 |
| East Gippsland Shire Council | Monaro Highway | 2014 | Loss of Control | 461 826 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Golden Plains | Meredith-Steiglitz Road | 2006 | Loss of Control | 19 982 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |



- 34 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Golden Plains | Meredith-Steiglitz Road | 2007 | Loss of Control | 184 986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Knox | Ferntree Gully Rd | 2011 | Loss of Control | 62 940 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| Latrobe City Council | Maryvale Road | 2014 | Loss of Control | 297 523 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Macedon | Cameron Drive Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 28 137 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Macedon Ranges | Fingerpost Road | 2008 | Loss of Control | 39 999 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Mansfield | Mansfield-Whitfield Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 4 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mansfield | Mansfield-Woods Point Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 17 743 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Melbourne City Council | Queensberry St | 2010 | Loss of Control | 82 144 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Moonee Valley | Maribyrnong Rd (Ascot Vale-Keilor Rd) | 2004 | Loss of Control | 4 055 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2 | -1 |
| Moorabool | Myrniong – Trentham Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 103 931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mornington Peninsula | Rosebud-Flinders Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 66 637 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Murrindindi | Lake Mountain Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 25 913 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Murrindindi | Marysville Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 50 005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Murrindindi | Maroondah Hwy | 2004 | Loss of Control | 71 096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Murrindindi | Marysville-Woods Point Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 42 710 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Murrindindi | Eildon – Jamieson Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 60 125 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 1 |
| Murrindindi | Extons Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 5 017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -2 |
| Murrindindi | Healesville-Kinglake Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 8 048 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Murrindindi | Whittlesea-Yea Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 5 017 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 0 |
| Murrindindi | Snobs Creek Road | 2005 | Loss of Control | 27 749 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Murrindindi | Heidelberg-Kinglake Road | 2005 | Loss of Control | 160 556 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Murrindindi Shire | Whanregarwen Road | 2012 | Loss of Control | 317 002 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Murrindindi Shire | Jerusalem Creek Road | 2012 | Loss of Control | 923 000 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |



- 35 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|------------------------|---|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Nillumbik | Heidelberg-Kinglake Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 52 011 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Nillumbik | Heidelberg-Kinglake Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 102 616 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 0 | -3 |
| Nillumbik | Heidelberg-Kinglake Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 64 493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | – 1 |
| Nillumbik | Kangaroo Ground-Warrandyte Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 318 272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nillumbik | Heidelberg-Kinglake Road | 2005 | Loss of Control | 267 235 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 12 | -1 | 1 |
| Northern Grampians | Northern Grampians Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 127 999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern Grampians | Northern Grampians Rd | 2006 | Loss of Control | 139 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port Phillip | Aughtie Drive | 2006 | Loss of Control | 345 848 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Port Phillip/Melbourne | Montague St | 2003 | Loss of Control | 26 469 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| South Gippsland | Loch Poowong Road | 2008 | Loss of Control | 180 263 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| South Gippsland shire | Lang Lang-Poowong Rd | 2011 | Loss of Control | 499 043 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Stonnington | Malvern Road | 2011 | Loss of Control | 63 312 | 10 | 25 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 11 |
| Strathbogie | Euroa-Mansfield Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 60 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Surf Coast | Great Ocean Road | 2003 | Loss of Control | 12 663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Surf Coast | Great Ocean Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 72 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Surf Coast | Great Ocean Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 2 191 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | -1 |
| Surf Coast | Great Ocean Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 53 551 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Towong | Granya Rd (prev part Murray River Road) | 2005 | Loss of Control | 58 738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Towong Shire | Murray Valley Hwy | 2004 | Loss of Control | 58 848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wangaratta | Mansfield-Whitfield Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 45 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wellington | Licola Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 52 964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Whittlesea | Whittlesea-Yea Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 46 413 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | -3 | -3 |
| Yarra Ranges | Eltham-Yarra Glen Rd | 2003 | Loss of Control | 16 550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



- 36 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yarra Ranges | Mount Dandenong Tourist Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 44 554 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Yarra Ranges | Mt Dandenong Tourist Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 27 982 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Yarra Ranges | Yarra Junction-Noojee Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 23 638 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 2 |
| Yarra Ranges | Mt Dandenong Tourist Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 18 225 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Yarra Ranges | Mt Dandenong Tourist Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 3 657 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Yarra Ranges | Emerald-Monbulk Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 21 323 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Yarra Ranges | Belgrave-Gembrook Rd | 2004 | Loss of Control | 35 628 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Yarra Ranges | Yarra Junction-Noojee Road | 2004 | Loss of Control | 27 843 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Yarra Ranges | Healesville-Kinglake Road | 2005 | Loss of Control | 118 249 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Yarra Ranges/Knox | Mountain Hwy (Wantirna Sassafras Rd) | 2004 | Loss of Control | 55 003 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 17 | 0 | -3 |
| Yarra Ranges Shire | Healesville-Kinglake Rd | 2007 | Loss of Control | 68 983 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Yarra Ranges Shire Council | Marysville-Woods Point Road | 2013 | Loss of Control | 491 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Total | 11 375 173 | 153 | 292 | 92 | 189 | 61 | 103 |
| Bass Coast Shire | Bass Hwy | 2007 | Roundabout trial | 18 330 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| Casey City Council | South Gippsland Hwy 02 | 2007 | Roundabout trial | 26 731 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | -2 |
| Kingston City Council | Boundary Rd | 2008 | Roundabout trial | 11 379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| Shire of Cardinia | South Gippsland Hwy 02 | 2007 | Roundabout trial | 28 295 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | -1 | -1 |
| | | | Total | 84 735 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | -2 | -5 |
| Horsham & N. Grampians | Northern Grampians Rd | 2009 | VAS | 57 669 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macedon Ranges | Cameron Drive Road | 2009 | VAS | 57 669 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 11 | -3 | -1 |
| Murrindindi | Heidelberg-Kinglake Rd | 2009 | VAS | 57 669 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nillumbik | Heidelberg-Kinglake Rd | 2009 | VAS | 57 669 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nillumbik | Heidelberg-Kinglake Rd | 2009 | VAS | 57 669 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



- 37 - July 2015

| LGA | Road name | Completion | Project classification | Final cost (\$) | Before – FSI | Before – casualties | After – FSI | After – casualties | FSI change | Casualty change |
|--------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yarra Ranges | Healesville-Kinglake Rd | 2009 | VAS | 57 669 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Total | 346 013 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 11 | -3 | -1 |
| | | | Total for program | 31 957 045 | 556 | 985 | 371 | 701 | 185 | 284 |



- 38 - July 2015